

# The University of Kansas (All Campuses)

## FY 2006 TUITION PROPOSAL

---

---

### Introduction

The first three years of KU's five-year tuition enhancement program achieved the goals that were identified in 2002:

- Provide much-needed new funding for high priority academic program enhancements;
- Generate funds for need-based KU Tuition Grants; and
- Avoid using tuition as a dollar-for-dollar substitute for reduced state funding.

KU's FY 2006 tuition proposal, the fourth year of the five-year program, is described below and in two attached appendices. In summary, it calls for the following increases in tuition at the Lawrence and Medical Center campuses:

- \$330.75 per semester increase for resident undergraduate students (15 hours);
- \$579.75 per semester increase for nonresident undergraduate students (15 hours);
- \$283.80 per semester increase for resident graduate students (12 hours); and
- \$490.80 per semester increase for nonresident graduate students (12 hours).

For medical students, tuition will increase by \$1,104.80 per semester for resident students and by \$1,418.35 for nonresident students. Required fees vary by campus and are charged in addition to tuition.

Tuition and fees represent about one-third of the total annual cost of attending KU for resident undergraduates (\$13,778 in 2004-05, factoring in room, board, books, travel and personal expenses). While the proposed academic year increase in tuition and fees at Lawrence for resident undergraduate students is 14 percent, the amount of the increase represents less than a 5 percent increase in the total cost of attending KU (projected to be \$15,256 in 2005-06).

## **Enrollment**

KU's five-year tuition enhancement program has been highly successful in achieving its goals and has had no negative effect on overall enrollment, which from Fall 2001 to Fall 2004 increased by 1,400 students, or 5 percent. Similarly, there has been no negative impact on minority (American Indian, Black, and Hispanic) enrollment over the same period, which increased by 527 students, or 30.5 percent. As important as student enrollment is student retention, and KU is retaining a larger percentage of its entering minority students. Over the past five years, the percentage of KU minority entering freshmen retained after one year has increased from 67.4 percent to 72.9 percent, and the percentage retained after two years has soared from 52.8 percent to 71.7 percent.

## **Accessibility and Affordability**

Two critical considerations in an increasing tuition environment are accessibility and affordability. In Fall 2001, which was prior to the start of the five-year tuition enhancement program, 14.0 percent of the enrolled freshmen had a family income of \$36,000 or less. In Fall 2004, after three years of the tuition enhancement program, 14.9 percent of the enrolled freshmen had a family income of \$36,000 or less. Thus, despite tuition increases, KU is still attracting students from families of moderate means, and remains a very affordable "best buy" in higher education, according to the Fiske Guide to Colleges.

KU is confident that the fourth and fifth years of the five-year tuition enhancement program will be similarly successful at enhancing the academic experience for all students while, for the most part, holding harmless those who have the greatest financial need.

## **Financial Aid**

Once again, approximately 20 percent of the revenue generated by the proposed FY 2006 enhancement tuition increase will be directed toward need-based financial aid. In FY 2005, \$5.1 million in KU Tuition Grants were set aside for need-based financial aid at the Lawrence and Edwards campuses, which resulted in 4,206 students (3,384 undergraduate, 822 graduate) receiving tuition grants averaging about \$1,224 each. A total of \$776,000 set aside for need-based financial aid at the Medical Center campus resulted in tuition grants averaging \$1,660 each to 467 students.

In addition to these dedicated funds, a portion of the school-based differential tuition also is used for student financial aid.

The dollar amount of KU tuition grants funded through tuition increases is the largest in KU history.

- The Kansas Comprehensive Grant Program provides \$12 million in student financial aid to higher education students throughout Kansas.

- In only its third year of existence, the KU Tuition Grant Program, at \$5.9 million, is the second largest grant aid program at KU.
- In FY 2005, the KU Tuition Grant Program, reflecting the Lawrence and Edwards Campuses, and KUMC, is almost 4 times greater than the state financial aid KU students receive through state financial aid programs.\*

\* State financial aid programs include the Kansas Comprehensive Grant Program, Kansas State Scholarships, Kansas Teacher Service Scholarships, and Kansas Ethnic Minority Scholarships.

#### A. FY 2006 PROPOSED TUITION RATES (All Students)

	FY 2005 Tuition Rate	Proposed FY 2006 Tuition Rate	\$ Increase	% Increase
Undergraduate Resident	\$138.75	\$160.80	\$22.05	15.9%
Graduate Resident	178.80	202.45	23.65	13.2%
Undergraduate Nonresident	403.90	442.55	38.65	9.6%
Graduate Nonresident	459.10	500.00	40.90	8.9%
Medical Students Resident	8,354.45/Sem	9,459.25/Sem	1,104.80/Sem	13.2%
Medical Students Nonresident	15,918.60/Sem	17,336.95/Sem	1,418.35/Sem	8.9%

Table A represents proposed tuition rates for FY 2006, which includes:

- basic tuition
  - Resident – FY 2005 basic tuition per credit hour x 4.0 percent inflationary/maintenance increase + \$16.50 per credit hour enhancement tuition = FY 2006 basic tuition per credit hour.
  - Nonresident – FY 2005 basic tuition per credit hour x 4.0 percent inflationary/maintenance increase + \$16.50 per credit hour enhancement tuition + \$6 per credit hour maintenance surcharge for **nonresident students only** = FY 2006 basic tuition per credit hour.
  - Medical tuition is shown per semester since it is not based on credit hours.

**B. FY 2006 CURRENT AND PROPOSED DIFFERENTIAL TUITION RATES**

The following differential tuition rates per credit hour are charged in addition to the tuition rates above. With the exception of the School of Pharmacy PharmD program, modest maintenance increases are proposed.

Current Differential Tuition	FY 2005 Differential Tuition Rate	Proposed FY 2006 Differential Tuition Rate	\$ Increase	% Increase
Architecture	\$15.60	\$16.25	\$.65	4.0%
Business	75.00	78.00	3.00	4.0%
Business (Masters)	61.80	64.25	2.45	4.0%
Education	15.00	15.60	.60	4.0%
Engineering (Technology Fee)	30.00	31.20	1.20	4.0%
Engineering (Edwards Campus) (Masters)	33.70	35.00	1.30	3.9%
Fine Arts	15.00	15.60	.60	4.0%
Journalism	12.00	12.50	.50	4.2%
Law	112.30	116.80	4.50	4.0%
Pharmacy (PharmD degree)	95.45	120.00	24.55	25.7%
Edwards Campus Programs	30.00	31.20	1.20	4.0%

**Increase in Pharmacy (PharmD degree) Differential Tuition** – The proposed increase in the existing PharmD tuition differential would generate an estimated \$350,000 in additional funding, which would be used to meet critical needs of the program, including support for students, faculty, and staff, as well as for computer access, classroom, and laboratory equipment. Funds also would be used to support need-based aid for students with financial hardships, and to increase funding of preceptors to provide clerkship opportunities for students. This increase would make KU’s differential tuition fee more comparable with similar fees charged by other Schools of Pharmacy in the Midwest region. Through email and open forums for KU Pharmacy students, the Dean presented the case for this differential tuition increase. A letter supporting the increase was signed by all the pharmacy student organization leaders, and accompanied the increase request. Full documentation of the proposed 25.7 percent increase in the School of Pharmacy PharmD program differential tuition rate is available at:

<http://www.ku.edu/~oirp/Tuition/index2006.shtml>

**C. OTHER PROPOSED CHANGES TO TUITION STRUCTURE** (none proposed for FY 2006)

**D. DESCRIPTION OF RELATIONSHIP OF FY 2006 PROPOSALS TO THE FIVE-YEAR PLAN**

KU's five-year tuition enhancement program is a critical component of the University's goal to become a top 25 U.S. public research institution by 2010. Currently, KU is ranked 42nd among public institutions by *U.S. News & World Report*. Rankings such as these serve as an important proxy measure of high quality; indicators of high quality include strong academic reputation, high retention rates, adequate institutional financial resources, support for faculty, research funding, and prestigious awards and memberships.

Consistent with the plan that was developed in 2002, the proposed tuition rates for FY 2006 reflect an annual inflationary/maintenance increase, and an enhancement tuition increase of \$16.50 per credit hour for all students. An additional \$6 per credit hour maintenance surcharge for nonresident students only is also recommended.

As the table below demonstrates, KU nonresident tuition/fees as a percent of comparison groups averages for 2004-05 lags that of resident tuition/fees comparisons. To achieve a greater level of "tuition equity" between resident and nonresident students, an additional \$6 per SCH maintenance surcharge is proposed for 2005-06. The potential impact of this surcharge is shown in the table below using 2004-05 data.

**2004-05 Undergraduate Tuition and Fees  
KU-Lawrence and Selected Comparison Groups  
Resident and Nonresident Tuition and Fees**

<b>KU and Comparison Group</b>	<b>Resident Tuition and Fees 2004-05</b>	<b>Nonresident Tuition and Fees 2004-05</b>	<b>KU 2004-05 Nonresident Tuition and Fees plus 30 SCH * \$6 per SCH</b>
<b>KU-Lawrence</b>	<b>\$4,737</b>	<b>\$12,691</b>	<b>\$12,871</b>
<b>KU-Lawrence as a Percent of:</b>			
<b>Big 12 Publics Average*</b>	<b>89.9%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>88.6%</b>
<b>NASULGC Average</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>83.0%</b>	<b>84.1%</b>
<b>AAU-14 Publics Average*</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>68.9%</b>

\* Average excludes KU-Lawrence

Annually the Kansas Board of Regents computes the cost of education per student for each Regents university, then calculates the ratio of tuition to the cost of education per student. In FY 2003, KU nonresident undergraduate tuition was 129% of the cost of education per student; nonresident graduate tuition was 81% of the cost of education. The FY 2003 data are the most current available.

To maintain access to the University, KU will reserve about 20% of the additional revenue generated from the proposed enhancement tuition increases for need-based financial aid, which amounts to approximately \$2.1 million. In academic year 2003-04, the most recent year for which complete student aid data are available, KU students received \$159.7 million in total financial aid. In FY 2005, KU awarded \$5.9 million in KU Tuition Grants.

#### **E. DESCRIPTION OF STUDENT AND OTHER CAMPUS COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN DEVELOPMENT AND/OR REVIEW OF FY 2006 PROPOSALS**

Initial discussions about a five-year tuition strategy at the University of Kansas included more than 30 campus dialogues, which began on November 28, 2001. Subsequent to those dialogues, a permanent process was established to ensure ongoing communication on proposed tuition increases and uses for tuition enhancement revenue allocations. That process involved the Planning and Resources (P & R) Committee, comprised of faculty and students representing the Lawrence and Edwards campuses and augmented to include representatives from unclassified and classified staff. Separately, a Student Tuition Committee was established provide comments and input whenever the tuition strategy is discussed in the P & R Committee. For example, on February 20, 2004, the two committees provided valuable input on the \$6 per credit hour maintenance surcharge for nonresident students.

On April 8, 2004, the University Daily Kansan endorsed the tuition increase, stating that "...it's helpful to know just where that money is going. For the most part, the intentions are good." The editorial also stated that tuition increases are fine, as long as they enhance and strengthen the University of Kansas. Mention was also made of the fact that, despite increasing tuition, KU continues to be widely recognized as a "best value" institution.

The Student Tuition Committee has met with the P & R Committee to consider proposals from Lawrence Campus Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor David Shulenburger for allocating the tuition enhancement dollars. The FY 2005 proposed tuition enhancement proposal was reviewed by the P & R committee and the Student Tuition Committee on April 29, 2004, and was given a favorable response. A meeting on April 19 of the same group discussed proposals requesting funds for student support positions.

During Spring 2004 student elections, students began looking beyond the five- year tuition enhancement program, and the elected team proposed a guaranteed four-year base tuition plan that would be implemented upon completion of the five-year plan currently

underway. Steve Munch and Jeff Dunlap, KU Student Body President and Vice President, respectively, have worked closely this year with students and administrators in developing such a plan.

The Student Tuition Committee and the P&R Committee met with Provost Shulenburg on January 28, 2005 to discuss the proposal and the Provost requested that the students continue to explore the student interest in the proposal. On March 16, 2005, Student Senate approved Resolution No. 2005 – 310, which, in principle, supported the University of Kansas guaranteed four-year tuition program. ***More about that proposal is contained in Section H on future plans.***

On April 1, 2005, the Student Tuition Committee and the P&R Committee met with the Provost to review the draft of the 2006 tuition proposal that would be submitted to the Board of Regents early May. Both the Student Tuition Committee and the P&R Committee endorsed the proposal.

At the medical center campus, the Executive Vice Chancellor, the Senior Vice Chancellor for Academic and Student Affairs, the Vice Chancellor for Administration, and the Dean of Students meet monthly with the student governance leadership to keep them apprised of, and updated on, tuition issues, including allocation of FY 2005 tuition enhancement funds and progress on projects such as the new Integrated Testing Center. In March and April of 2005, the Dean of Students provided an overview of the FY 2006 proposal and the reasons for the increase in the Student Health Fee to multiple student interest groups and all schools on the medical center campus. KUMC students understand the need for increased tuition: their primary concern was having the information early so they have adequate time to plan their budgets for the following year.

Consistent with the campus dialogue at Lawrence, KUMC has established an ongoing process for discussion of the five-year tuition strategy and the role it plays in achieving the goal of becoming a top 25 research university.

#### **F. PROJECTED NET INCREASE IN TUITION REVENUES AND PLANNED USES OF INCREASED REVENUES**

Revenue increases and expenditures as a result of the proposed FY 2006 tuition rates are estimated at approximately \$20 million in FY 2006. Of that amount, \$2.1 million would be reserved for need-based aid, \$7.4 million would be dedicated to maintenance, and \$10.4 million would be allocated for enhancements. The \$10.4 million in additional enhancement revenue would consist of \$8.7 million at the Lawrence campus and \$1.7 million at KUMC.

Targeted uses of enhancement revenue as identified by the Ad Hoc Committee on University Funding, comprised of students, faculty, and staff from KU-Lawrence and KUMC, and accepted by Chancellor Robert Hemenway, include:

- Additional Need-Based Aid
- Faculty Salary Increases
- New Faculty and Academic Programs
- GTA Salary Increases
- Other Operating Expenses
- Unclassified Staff Salary Increases
- Faculty Start-up Expenses
- University Libraries
- New Staff Positions
- New GTAs/Lecturers

The FY 2005 tuition increase at the Lawrence and Edwards campuses resulted in an additional \$16.0 million in tuition revenue, of which \$1.7 million was designated for need-based financial aid, \$8.7 million for enhanced program support funding, and about \$5.7 million for maintenance costs.

The \$8.7 million in FY 2005 tuition enhancement funds were dedicated to program support at the Lawrence and Edwards campuses as follows:

- GTA Salary Increase - \$1.0 million
- Libraries - \$500,000
- Other Operating Expenses to Schools / Departments - \$1.0 million
- New Faculty - \$2.0 million
- Faculty Salary Increases - \$2.0 million
- Startup Costs for Faculty - \$500,000
- New Staff Positions - \$500,000
- Unclassified Salary Increases - \$900,000
- GTA/Lecturers - \$200,000

In FY 2005, the \$1.9 million in tuition enhancements at KUMC were used as follows:

- Financial Aid - \$300,000
- Increase GTA Support - \$182,000
- Student Support & Staff Positions - \$72,000
- Teaching Equipment - \$201,000
- Standardized Patient Programs (Wichita) - \$145,000
- New Faculty Positions - \$386,500
- Curriculum Improvement - \$225,000
- IT Improvements - \$125,000
- Research Improvements - \$76,000
- Student Programs - \$3,500
- Classroom Improvements - \$82,500
- Library Improvements - \$100,000

## **G. PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS TO REQUIRED STUDENT FEES**

### **Student Health Fee – KUMC**

Based on KUMC projections, the current revenue for the Student Health Center is insufficient to cover operating costs for FY 2005. A deficit of nearly \$7,000 is anticipated. The proposed 30 percent fee increase (\$16.55 per semester for all resident and nonresident, undergraduate and graduate students, and \$20.70 per semester for all medical students) is projected to cover the carryover deficit, to add additional licensed staffing at the facility, and to provide more education and outreach to the campus community. The financial impact statement (Exhibit 1, page 18) and additional supporting documentation related to this fee increase are available at:

<http://www.ku.edu/~oirp/Tuition/index2006.shtml>

### **Campus Environmental Improvement Fee – KU-Lawrence**

This fee was initiated in Fall 1998, and has supported an effective, on-going basic recycling program. The impact of the campus-wide recycling and environmental improvement program on the University community has been decidedly positive. This modest \$1 per semester fee increase will support improvements such as recycling bins along Jayhawk Boulevard, an on-campus recycling center, game-day recycling activities at Memorial Stadium, and additional student employment to facilitate the program. The financial impact statement (Exhibit 2, page 20) and additional supporting documentation related to this fee increase are available at:

<http://www.ku.edu/~oirp/Tuition/index2006.shtml>

### **Legal Services for Students Fee – KU-Lawrence**

Legal Services for Students (LSS) has been in operation since 1979. The office consults with and advises students on a wide range of legal matters, e.g., consumer contracts, landlord/tenant situations, income tax reporting, loans, child support, divorce, and identity theft. This modest \$1.50 per semester fee increase will cover the cost of an additional staff attorney, who will specialize in litigation on behalf of KU students facing legal controversies, and will provide a voice in the community to ensure that all individuals and groups treat KU students in a fair, equitable, and legal manner. The added revenue may also provide funds for an additional legal intern, a salary raise for all legal interns, and for increasing an attorney position from 75% to 100% time. The financial impact statement (Exhibit 3, page 22) and additional supporting documentation related to this fee increase are available at: <http://www.ku.edu/~oirp/Tuition/index2006.shtml>

## **Student Union Activities Fee – KU-Lawrence**

The Student Union Association (SUA) receives funding from Student Senate, the Memorial Unions, and generates revenue from ticket sales. However, the current SUA budget is not large enough to pay the talent fees of prominent performers or lecturers, or to allow much programming flexibility. This lack of funding is evidenced by the discontinuance of Day on the Hill, which featured notable musicians and groups, and by the declining prestige of the Student Lecture Series. KU is one of a very few Big 12 universities that does not have a student fee specifically dedicated to student event programming. This new, \$5 per semester fee would benefit KU students academically, culturally, and socially. Additionally, students could benefit financially in a several ways, including reduced ticket prices and more SUA-sponsored events provided without charge. The financial impact statement (Exhibit 4, page 25) and additional supporting documentation related to this increase are available at: <http://www.ku.edu/~oirp/Tuition/index2006.shtml>

## **H. FUTURE PLANS – THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS GUARANTEED FOUR-YEAR BASE TUITION PLAN – ACADEMIC YEARS 2007-08 THROUGH 2012-13**

The University is now completing the third year of a five-year tuition enhancement plan that was endorsed and supported by students, faculty and staff. That plan is living up to its promise of adding to the quality of a KU education through the funding of such essentials as additional faculty members, increased classroom technology, scientific equipment and library resources. Once the current five-year tuition enhancement plan is completed in 2006-07, it is our intention to propose a guaranteed four-year base tuition plan to be put in place for the following five-year period (2007-08 through 2012-13).

Rising tuition rates across the nation have been a natural cause of concern for students and parents. At the University of Kansas, we have made it our practice to include students, faculty and staff in planning tuition increases and we then have made every effort to apprise our constituents of those plans, so that projected cost increases are public knowledge, pending annual approval by the Board of Regents. Because student involvement in tuition issues is institutionalized at KU, the first advocates of a guaranteed tuition have been student governance leaders. The KU administration concurs with the wisdom of such a plan, which will provide a predictable base line amount for parents and students who must budget for the cost of a college education.

When they enter KU, students can know what their tuition payments will be for the period it should take them to complete their entire undergraduate degrees, much like a fixed-rate mortgage on a home. In addition, guaranteed tuition provides a very tangible incentive to students to complete their degrees in a timely fashion. We place the highest priority on students completing four-year degrees in four years, and our coupling the length of the tuition guarantee with the time required to complete the degree will provide an additional incentive for students to do so. The plan we propose has the following elements:

1. First time freshmen will enter at a fixed, per credit hour, base tuition rate, hereafter called the four-year "cohort" rate, which will remain unchanged through the next four years and be in effect for all credit hours taken, provided that the student remains continuously enrolled for each academic semester during the four-year period. Students who have interrupted enrollment because they are called to active military service or who are judged to have a bona fide emergency medical condition that requires withdrawal from school, etc., may be permitted to remain in their original four-year cohort.
2. Beginning in 2007, (on an annual basis) the University of Kansas will propose a rate for a four-year cohort to the Kansas Board of Regents. The four-year rate proposal will be based on the University's estimate of tuition rates on average for the next four years. For example, the four-year cohort rate for the freshman class admitted in 2007 will be computed from the estimated per credit hour tuition rates for the subsequent four academic years. The cohort rate for the freshman class admitted in 2007 will be computed at the average estimated per credit hour tuition for the following four academic years. For example, if tuition in 2006 were \$4,762, and then increased at a 5% rate over the next four years, i.e., \$5,000 in 2007, \$5,250 (2008), \$5,512 (2009), \$5,788 (2010), the cohort tuition for students entering in Fall 2008 would be \$5,387.50. In general, the cohort rate will reflect the average increase expected for the subsequent four years.

The advantage of the guaranteed four-year base tuition plan is the certainty it provides. If the 5% annual increase scenario takes place as planned, the cohort group will pay the same amount as non-cohort students over a four-year period. However, since University funding is determined on an annual basis, it is impossible to predict from year to year what factors may affect the budget. Will State support fall so that increased tuition becomes imperative? Will a rapid rise in inflation dictate additional funding? Those students in a cohort will have a guaranteed tuition rate for four years regardless of the vagaries of state funding or other outside economic pressures.

3. Students who are not part of a cohort will be charged the base per credit hour rate approved by the Regents in June of each year for the subsequent academic year. That is, students not in a cohort will have a guaranteed tuition rate only during a single academic year. The following students shall not be eligible for cohort tuition: those undergraduate students who do not enter the University as first time freshman, those who enter as first time freshman but lose their cohort status because they fail to continuously enroll or to complete their degrees in four years (or five or six years for those in programs of longer duration), and all graduate students.
4. Cohort rates will apply for summer school. The cohort rate begins the fall semester a student matriculates at KU.

5. Should a student in a specific four- year cohort be admitted to an academic program officially promulgated by the University as a five-year program, the student will be reclassified for fee purposes into the four-year cohort that enrolled one year after her/his first cohort. If the program is an officially promulgated six-year program, the student will be reclassified for fee purposes into the four- year cohort that enrolled two years after her/his first cohort. For example, a student who enters as a freshman in Fall 2007 and is officially admitted to a five-year program will pay the class of 2007 cohort rate in 2007-2008 and then pay the 2008 cohort rate for the final four years of the program. The student admitted as a freshman in 2007 and subsequently admitted to a six-year program will pay the 2007 cohort rate for 2007 and 2008 and then will pay the 2009 cohort rate for the final four years of the program.
6. School-specific tuition rates, campus fees, and residence hall rates are not guaranteed and may change from year to year as approved by the Board of Regents.

Student Senate leadership was instrumental in the development of the guaranteed base tuition plan. On March 16, 2005, Student Senate approved by acclamation Resolution No. 2005 – 310, which, in principle, supported the University of Kansas guaranteed four-year tuition program as outlined above. The only caveat is the recommendation that school-specific differential tuition rates also be included under the purview of the proposal. Discussions on this matter will continue next year. See Attachment A, which is the above-cited Student Senate Resolution.



WHEREAS, the proposed guaranteed tuition plan would not take effect until 2007-2008 and thus would allow central administration and student leadership ample time to develop and refine the details of implementation, and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for students, faculty, and administrators alike to agree in principle to the scope and structure of this plan before further developing it,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the University of Kansas Student Senate here assembled supports the principles set forth in the University of Kansas Guaranteed Four-Year Base Tuition Plan with one reservation. This body encourages the administration to move forward on this plan; however, we recommend that school-specific tuition rates also be included under the purview of the stated proposal.



---

Jeff Dunlap, Student Body Vice President  
Presiding Officer of the Student Senate



---

Lauren Pierson  
Executive Secretary of Student Senate



---

Steve Munch  
Student Body President

Veto: Yes ~~No~~

## APPENDIX A

### The University of Kansas

#### FY 2006 PROPOSED TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES Fulltime, Per Semester

<b>LAWRENCE CAMPUS</b>				
	<b>Approved FY 2005</b>	<b>Proposed FY 2006</b>	<b>\$ Increase</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
Resident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)				
Tuition	\$2,081.25	\$2,412.00	\$330.75	15.9%
Required Fees	287.00	294.50	7.50	2.6%
Total	2,368.25	2,706.50	338.25	14.3%
Nonresident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)				
Tuition	6,058.50	6,638.25	579.75	9.6%
Required Fees	287.00	294.50	7.50	2.6%
Total	6,345.50	6,932.75	587.25	9.3%
Resident Graduate (12 hrs.)				
Tuition	2,145.60	2,429.40	283.80	13.2%
Required Fees	287.00	294.50	7.50	2.6%
Total	2,432.60	2,723.90	291.30	12.0%
Nonresident Graduate (12 hrs.)				
Tuition	5,509.20	6,000.00	490.80	8.9%
Required Fees	287.00	294.50	7.50	2.6%
Total	5,796.20	6,294.50	498.30	8.6%

**EDWARDS CAMPUS**

	<b>Approved FY 2005</b>	<b>Proposed FY 2006</b>	<b>\$ Increase</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
<b>Resident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)</b>				
Tuition	\$2,081.25	\$2,412.00	\$330.75	15.9%
Edwards Campus Tuition Differential	450.00	468.00	18.00	4.0%
Administrative Fee	362.40	376.90	14.50	4.0%
Required Fees	315.00	315.00	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,208.65</b>	<b>3,571.90</b>	<b>363.25</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
<b>Nonresident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)</b>				
Tuition	6,058.50	6,638.25	579.75	9.6%
Edwards Campus Tuition Differential	450.00	468.00	18.00	4.0%
Administrative Fee	362.40	376.90	14.50	4.0%
Required Fees	315.00	315.00	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,185.90</b>	<b>7,798.15</b>	<b>612.25</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
<b>Resident Graduate (12 hrs.)</b>				
Tuition	2,145.60	2,429.40	283.80	13.2%
Edwards Campus Tuition Differential	360.00	374.40	14.40	4.0%
Administrative Fee	480.00	499.20	19.20	4.0%
Required Fees	252.00	252.00	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,237.60</b>	<b>3,555.00</b>	<b>317.40</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
<b>Nonresident Graduate (12 hrs.)</b>				
Tuition	5,509.20	6,000.00	490.80	8.9%
Edwards Campus Tuition Differential	360.00	374.40	14.40	4.0%
Administrative Fee	480.00	499.20	19.20	4.0%
Required Fees	252.00	252.00	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,601.20</b>	<b>7,125.60</b>	<b>524.40</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

Note: Students who can document that they are residents of one of the four Metro Kansas City, Missouri, counties (Cass, Clay, Jackson, and Platte) are eligible for KC Metro Grants.

**MEDICAL CENTER CAMPUS**

	<b>Approved FY 2005</b>	<b>Proposed FY 2006</b>	<b>\$ Increase</b>	<b>% Increase</b>
Resident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)				
Tuition	\$2,081.25	\$2,412.00	\$330.75	15.9%
Required Fees	126.80	143.35	16.55	13.1%
Total	2,208.05	2,555.35	347.30	15.7%
Nonresident Undergraduate (15 hrs.)				
Tuition	6,058.50	6,638.25	579.75	9.6%
Required Fees	126.80	143.35	16.55	13.1%
Total	6,185.30	6,781.60	596.30	9.6%
Resident Graduate (12 hrs.)				
Tuition	2,145.60	2,429.40	283.80	13.2%
Required Fees	156.80	173.35	16.55	10.6%
Total	2,302.40	2,602.75	300.35	13.1%
Nonresident Graduate (12 hrs.)				
Tuition	5,509.20	6,000.00	490.80	8.9%
Required Fees	156.80	173.35	16.55	10.6%
Total	5,666.00	6,173.35	507.35	9.0%
Resident Medical Students				
Tuition	8,354.45	9,459.25	1,104.80	13.2%
Required Fees	188.25	208.95	20.70	11.0%
Total	8,542.70	9,668.20	1,125.50	13.2%
Nonresident Medical Students				
Tuition	15,918.60	17,336.95	1,418.35	8.9%
Required Fees	188.25	208.95	20.70	11.0%
Total	16,106.85	17,545.90	1,439.05	8.9%

# FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR FY 2006 PROPOSED REQUIRED STUDENT FEE INCREASES

## *Exhibit 1* **Student Health Fee - KUMC**

### **I. PROPOSED FEE**

We are proposing that beginning in the fall 2005 semester, the student assessment for Student Health Services at KUMC be changed as follows:

- Graduate/undergraduate students enrolled in 6 or less hours are assessed the same amount that students enrolled in more than 6 hours are; and
- The fee be increased by 30%

### **II. FEE INCREASE JUSTIFICATION**

1. It is projected that current revenue for the Student Health Center is insufficient to cover the operating expenses for FY 2005, leaving a projected deficit of ~\$6,900. The fee increase is projected to cover the carryover deficit and increase the revenue to a level that meets the budgeted expenditures for FY 2006.  
In FY 2004 and 2005:
  - a. the contract for medical doctor services increased by 67%; and
  - b. the Student Health Center experienced an unanticipated increase in the cost of health care supplies.
2. Available data indicates that part-time students utilize the Student Health Center as much as full-time students. In addition, students have indicated that they feel that it is more equitable for part-time students to be assessed the same amount as full-time students for student health services.
3. Current staffing based on the operating budget produces several “gaps” during operating hours where a licensed health care provider is not available. A fee increase will allow the Student Health Center to add additional licensed staffing to fill the gaps as well as have at least two licensed medical providers at the facility during most of the operating hours.
4. Students have indicated that additional medical doctor staffing hours are needed in the Student Health Center.
5. The fee increase will improve the Student Health Center’s staffing to a level that will allow the Student Health Center to improve the operational management of the clinic and provide more education and outreach to the campus community.

### **III. STUDENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE PROPOSAL**

The Student Health Outreach Team (SHOT) actively engaged in conversations this year about the student health services needs of the student body. A campus-wide survey was administered and a point of service survey was implemented to monitor

the quality of service in the Student Health Center. SHOT discussed the results of the surveys and deliberated the proposed fee increase. The proposal was individually presented to all 4 of the individual school governing bodies (Allied Health, Graduate Studies, Medicine, and Nursing). These councils discussed the matter thoroughly, asked several questions, and responded affirmatively to the proposed increase. On April 6, 2005, this proposal was brought before the Student

Governing Council consisting of voting representatives from all four schools. Once again, the proposal was presented at length and a vote of approval was granted.

#### IV. IMPACT ON THE STUDENTS

Pending approval of this proposal, the 1,861 students at KUMC will be assessed the increased Student Health fee (the current fee is indicated in parentheses.) The total amount of additional revenue is projected to be \$61,930.

	Fall/Spring	Summer	Annual Fee	Overall Increase/Year
<b>GRD/UGD Students Enrolled each semester</b>				
<i>enrolled in 6 or more hours</i>	\$71.76 (\$55.20)	\$35.88 (\$27.60)	\$179.40 (\$138)	<b>\$41.40</b>
<i>enrolled in less than 6 hours</i>	\$71.76 (\$27.60)	\$35.88 (\$27.60)	\$179.40 (\$82.80)	<b>\$96.60</b>
<b>GRD/UGD Students enrolled fall/spring semesters only</b>				
<i>enrolled in 6 or more hours</i>	\$71.76 (\$55.20)	NA	\$143.52 (\$110.40)	<b>\$33.12</b>
<i>enrolled in less than 6 hours</i>	\$71.76 (\$27.60)	NA	\$143.52 (\$55.20)	<b>\$88.32</b>
<b>Medical Students</b>				
	\$89.70 (\$69.00)	NA	\$179.40 (\$138)	<b>\$41.40</b>

**Exhibit 2**  
**Campus Environmental Improvement Fee - KU-Lawrence**

**I. PROPOSED FEE**

Effective with the Fall Semester, 2005, the Campus Environment Improvement Fee would be increased as follows:

	<u>Present Fee</u> <u>2004-2005</u>	<u>Proposed Fee</u> <u>2005-2006</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
<b>PER SEMESTER</b>				
Full-time students (6 hours or more)*	\$2.00	\$3.00	\$1.00	50%
<b>PER SUMMER SESSION</b>				
Full-time students (5 hours or more)*	\$1.00	\$1.50	\$0.50	50%

\*Fee is assessed at an hourly rate for enrollments of less than six hours per semester or less than five hours per Summer Session.

**II. FEE CHANGE JUSTIFICATION**

The initiation of this fee in the fall of 1998 has resulted in the development of an effective, on-going basic recycling program. A long time goal of the Student Environmental Advisory Board, benefits of recycling and the universal concern for improvement of the environment are well documented. The implementation of the campus-wide recycling and environmental improvement program has had a positive impact on the entire University community. A modest increase in the fee will support improvements in University-wide recycling projects such as recycling bins along Jayhawk Boulevard, an on-campus recycling center, game day recycling for fans at Memorial Stadium, and additional student employment to facilitate the program. This increase will generate approximately \$48,600 additional funds annually for the Campus Environmental Improvement Fee, making the total annual revenue approximately \$145,700. This is a joint Student/University effort that has proven to be a highly beneficial community service project.

### **III. STUDENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE FEE INCREASE PROPOSAL**

The Finance Subcommittee on Fee Review, a standing committee of Student Senate, proposed the increase of the recycling and environment fee. The \$1.00 fee increase proposal was favorably passed by the Student Senate Finance Committee on March 16, 2004 and submitted to the Student Senate. Student Senate approved this proposal on March 17, 2004. The fee increase proposal was next put before the entire student body. By referendum vote, the fee increase was overwhelmingly approved with 81% of those voting supporting the increase. The final recommendation has been reviewed and approved by the central administrative staff of the University.

### **IV. NUMBER OF STUDENTS AFFECTED**

All students, undergraduate and graduate, who pay the required campus fees, would be affected by the change. Students enrolled in six or more hours each semester will pay the \$3.00 Campus Environmental Improvement Fee per semester. Part-time students will pay the hourly rate. The estimated income is based on a combined fall/spring FTE enrollment of 46,100 and summer FTE enrollment of 5,000.

### **V. IMPACT ON STUDENTS**

This fee represents only a small portion of the full fees (tuition and required campus fees) paid by students. Students will benefit from the expansion of the recycling bin locations and other recycling projects and improvements. It is not anticipated that this fee will adversely affect the ability of students to finance their education.

**Exhibit 3**  
**Legal Services for Students Fee – KU - Lawrence**

**I. PROPOSED FEE**

Effective with the Fall semester, 2005, the Legal Services for Students Fee would be increased as follows:

	<u>Present</u> <u>2004-2005</u>	<u>Proposed Fee</u> <u>2005-2006</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
<b>PER SEMESTER</b>				
Students enrolled in six hours or more*	\$7.00	\$8.50	\$1.50	21.4%
<b>PER SUMMER SESSION</b>				
Students enrolled in five hours or more*	\$3.50	\$4.25	\$.75	21.4%

\*Fee is assessed at an hourly rate for enrollments of less than six hours per semester or less than five hours per Summer Session.

**II. FEE CHANGE JUSTIFICATION**

Legal Services for Students (LSS) has been fully funded by Student Senate and in operation since 1979. This service provides legal assistance in areas of law, where students often need advice, and for which it is difficult to obtain legal counsel at an affordable price. This office consults and advises students on any legal matter, i.e., matters relating to consumer contracts, divorce, adoption, child support, alcohol offenses, loans, landlord/tenant situations, income tax reporting, automobile accidents, identity theft, immigration, etc. In matters where the University is an adversary of a student, Legal Services for Students may provide information about the University grievance and conduct procedures as well as advising regarding their legal rights. They may not attend or participate in hearings or proceedings. They also will not advise in matters in which students are adversarial parties against one another. LSS plays an important role in supporting the academic mission and assists students in reaching academic success by allowing them to focus on classroom and academic issues. LSS assists in improving retention by reducing financial risks and/or other problems students face. This also leads to increased graduation rates. Legal Services for Students emphasizes its role of educating students of their legal rights and responsibilities through the development of brochures, seminars and workshops. The Student Senate Legal Services for

Students Advisory Board and Dean of Students' Office provides operational oversight. The Board consists of eleven (11) members, of which ten (10) are students. Legal Services for Students operates budgetarily as a unit within the purview of the Office of the Vice Provost for Student Success.

The current staff level does not allow for handling very many litigation cases because they are so time consuming. The fee increase will cover costs of an additional staff attorney who will specialize in litigation on behalf of KU students that face legal controversies and will provide a voice in the community to prevent landlords, businesses, and other groups from treating KU students in any manner other than a fair, equitable, and legal one. The added revenue may provide funds to hire an additional legal intern and raise all legal interns' salaries to be comparable to law clerk positions in our geographic area. Another attorney position may be able to be increased from 75% to 100% to expand student access to the services.

The proposed Legal Services for Students Fee is anticipated to yield approximately \$412,850 per year. This represents a supplement of about \$73,000. The proposed increase will enhance and broaden access to the valued services that are provided through this fee.

### **III. STUDENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE FEE INCREASE PROPOSAL**

The proposed fee increase was developed by the Legal Services for Students Advisory Board and unanimously recommended on November 16, 2004 to forward through the approval process. The Student Senate Required Campus Fee Review Committee discussed and recommended approval on February 21, 2005. It was passed by the Student Senate Finance Committee on February 23 and submitted to the Student Senate for consideration. Student Senate approved the increase on March 2, 2005. Although the Student Body President supported the legislative intent, he vetoed the bill March 13 with a recommendation that Senate put the fee increase proposal to referendum for approval instead. Senate concurred and the referendum vote was held April 13-14, 2005. The increase passed favorably by referendum receiving 67% of the voting students' approval. The recommendation has been reviewed and approved by the central administrative staff of the University.

### **IV. NUMBER OF STUDENTS AFFECTED**

All students, undergraduate and graduate, who pay the required campus fees, would be affected by the change. Students enrolled in six or more hours each semester will pay the \$8.50 Legal Services for Students Fee and students enrolled in five hours or more will pay the \$4.25 Legal Services for Students Fee during Summer Session. Other students will pay the prorated hourly fee rate.

The estimated income is based on a combined fall/spring FTE enrollment of 46,100 and a summer FTE of 5,000.

**V. IMPACT ON STUDENTS**

This fee represents only a small portion of the full fees (tuition and required campus fees) paid by students. The increase in staff will improve the access to legal advice and expand the services provided. It is not anticipated that this fee increase will adversely affect the ability of students to finance their education.

**Exhibit 4**  
**Student Union Activities Fee – KU-Lawrence**

**I. PROPOSED FEE**

Effective with the Fall semester, 2005, the Student Union Activities Fee would be established as follows:

	Present Fee <u>2004-2005</u>	Proposed Fee <u>2005-2006</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
PER SEMESTER Full-time students* (six hours or more)	\$0.00	\$5.00	\$5.00	
PER SUMMER SESSION Full-time Students* (five hours or more)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	

\*Fee is assessed at an hourly rate for enrollments of five hours or less per semester or less than five hours per Summer Session.

**II. FEE INCREASE JUSTIFICATION**

Student Union Activities (SUA) operates as a department within the KU Memorial Unions. SUA was created in 1938 by the University of Kansas Memorial Corporation as a way to popularize the Kansas Union and make it the center of activity for students. SUA provides quality entertainment and an ongoing commitment to enriching the KU community through student involvement. It is the primary student event programming body at KU, coordinating more than 150 programs per year.

SUA receives funding from Student Senate, the Memorial Unions, and generates revenue from ticket sales. The current SUA budget is not large enough to pay the talent fees of prominent performers and lecturers, or allow much programming flexibility. This lack of funding is evidenced by the discontinuation of Day on the Hill and the declining prestige of the Student Lecture Series. At least ten of the eleven other Big XII universities have implemented a student fee that specifically funds student programming bodies. KU students would greatly benefit academically, culturally, and socially from additional, higher quality events, lectures, and other programming. Students could financially benefit in a myriad of ways, including reduced ticket prices and more free events.

The proposed SUA Fee is anticipated to yield approximately \$240,400 per year.

### **III. STUDENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE FEE INCREASE PROPOSAL**

Students and student leaders assumed the full responsibility for initiating and considering the Student Union Activities Fee. The President and Vice President of Student Senate proposed the fee to the Student SUA Executive Board, which endorsed the proposal. The \$5.00 fee charge proposal was favorably passed by the Student Senate Finance Committee on March 9, 2005. The Student Senate University Affairs Committee also favorably passed the proposal on March 9. The Student Senate approved this proposal on March 16, 2005. The proposed fee was placed on a referendum ballot during Student Senate elections held April 13-14, 2005. The fee was approved by student voters, with 62% of the voters supporting the fee. The final recommendation has been reviewed and approved by the central administrative staff of the University.

### **IV. NUMBER OF STUDENTS AFFECTED**

All students, undergraduate and graduate, who pay the required campus fee, would be affected by the proposed fee increase. Students enrolled six or more hours each semester will pay the SUA fee of \$5.00 per semester. Part-time students will pay the hourly rate. The estimated income is based on a combined fall/spring FTE enrollment of 46,100 and summer FTE enrollment of 5,000.

### **V. IMPACT ON STUDENTS**

This fee represents only a small portion of the full fees (tuition and required campus fees) paid by students. The expanded programs, both in quality and quantity, will benefit all students. It is not anticipated that this fee will adversely affect the ability of students to finance their education.